Dear Jerry: W. (45NLA

I was rather puzzled to receive in this morning's mail a copy of an Eastland subcommittee report dated 1961 on the Pugwash conferences. It was addressed to me here and included a xerocopy of my last column on "research strikes" (Once again I have to complain about the headliner's work). As I have been planning to attend the Pugwash subcommittee meeting in Prague in May on CBW, my paranoid fantasies were beginning to read into this unsolicited missive the possibility of some subtle pressure by one of Eastland's staff against the Pugwash discussions. Do you know of any other signs of their strating to mount a campaign to inhibit or discredit them?

My own article would be more likely to excite a fuss from the left than from the right, but who knows whether anyone actually reads what is written on loaded questions of that sort.

If you do have any information or reaction on this, please let me know.

James .